

Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays from decay of Holeums in Galactic Halos

Abhijit L. Chavda^a, L. K. Chavda^{b 1}

^a*Physics Department, V.N. South Gujarat University, Udhna-Magdalla Road,
Surat - 395007, Gujarat, India.*

^b*49, Gandhi Society, City Light Road, Via Parle Point, Surat - 395007, Gujarat,
India*

Abstract

Stable, quantized gravitational bound states of primordial black holes called Holeums could have been produced in the early universe and could be a component of the Super Heavy Dark Matter (SHDM) present in galactic halos. We show that Holeums of masses $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV and above are stable enough to survive in the present-day universe. We identify such Holeums as promising candidates for the SHDM "X-particle" and show that the decay of such Holeums by pressure ionization can give rise to cosmic rays of all observed energies, including UHECR. The absence of the GZK cut-off is explained by the galactic halo origin of the UHECR. We predict that the cosmic rays are a manifestation of the end-stage Hawking radiation burst of the primordial black holes (PBH) liberated by the ionization of Holeums. Antimatter detected in cosmic rays could be a signature of their Holeum origin.

Key words: Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays, Dark Matter, Galactic Halos, Holeum

1 Introduction

The origin of the Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) is one of the most profound mysteries in astrophysics [1,2,3]. Cosmic ray particles with energies exceeding 10^{20} eV have been observed [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11], which has been interpreted by some to indicate the existence of Zevatrons in the universe

¹ E-mails:

a01.l.chavda@gmail.com

holeum@gmail.com

[12,13,14,15], which are sources that accelerate cosmic ray particles to energies as high as one ZeV = 10^{21} eV. There is much debate about the origin of UHECR [16,17,18].

The prevailing theories of the origin of UHECR fall into two categories: "bottom-up" acceleration [3], and "top-down" decay [19,20]. In the bottom-up scenario, particles are accelerated from low energies to ultra-high energies in certain special astrophysical environments. Examples are: acceleration in shocks associated with supernova explosions [21], active galactic nuclei (AGNs) [22,23] and radio lobes of powerful radio galaxies [24]. In the top-down scenario, on the other hand, UHECR originate from the decay of certain sufficiently massive particles originating from physical processes in the early Universe, and no acceleration mechanism is needed. Examples are: Topological Defects [25,26,27] and Super Heavy Dark Matter (SHDM) [19,28], among many others.

Most of the currently favoured candidates for sources of UHECR, such as radio galaxies [29,30,31,32], etc. lie at large cosmological distances ($\gg 100$ Mpc) from the earth. This is a stumbling block if the UHECR particles are hadrons or heavy nuclei, due to the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) effect [33,34]. Greisen and, independently, Zatsepin and Kuzmin noted that cosmic ray nucleons with energies exceeding $\sim 4 \times 10^{10}$ GeV would suffer severe energy losses through scattering on the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) photons due to photo-production of pions. The mean-free path for the CMB photons is of the order of a few Mpc [35]. Consequently the typical range of cosmic ray nucleons should decrease rapidly above this energy, leading to a 'GZK cut-off' in the energy spectrum if the sources are cosmologically distant. The absence of such a cut-off would imply that the sources are nearby, within the local supercluster. Recent calculations [36] indicate that the typical range of a nucleon drops below ~ 100 Mpc above 10^{11} GeV. The mean free path is estimated to be $\sim 1 - 5$ Mpc at 10^{11} GeV [37,38,39,40]. It therefore appears unlikely that UHECR would originate from large cosmological distances.

In this paper, we put forth the Holeum [41] as a SHDM "X-particle" and demonstrate that the ionization of Holeums in galactic halos can give rise to cosmic rays of all observed energies, including UHECR. The absence of the GZK cut-off can be attributed to the galactic halo origin of the UHECR. The remarkable feature of this model is that the cosmic rays are a manifestation of the end-stage Hawking radiation burst of the primordial black holes (PBH) liberated in ionizing collisions among the stable Holeums in galactic halos. The Hawking radiation origin can explain the detection of antimatter in cosmic rays.

2 The Holeum as a SHDM X-particle

2.1 Background

Over the last 20 years, compelling theoretical and observational evidence has built up that the visible matter in the universe makes up a very small fraction of the total matter composition of the universe [42]. It is now accepted that the universe is composed of approximately 73% dark energy, 23% dark matter, and about 4% visible matter [43,44,45]. Consequently, the nature and identity of the dark matter of the Universe has become one of the most challenging problems facing modern cosmology. Several candidates for dark matter particles have been proposed, which include Standard Model neutrinos [46,47], Sterile neutrinos [48,46], Axions [46,49], Supersymmetric particles [46,50,51,52,53,54], dark matter from Little Higgs models [55,56,57,58,59], Kaluza-Klein dark matter [60,61], WIMPZILLAs [62], Cryptons [63,64], primordial black holes [65,66,67], WIMPs [68], and super-heavy X-particles [28,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78]. Galaxies are observed to have dark matter halos which contain far more matter than their visible regions [79,80,81]. Most galaxies are not dominated by dark matter inside their optical disks [79,80,81], which suggests that the dark matter has properties that segregate it from visible matter.

It has been theorized that super-heavy X-particles [28,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78] left over as relics from the primordial universe may be a constituent of the dark matter present in the universe. These X-particles are believed to have masses in the range $M_X \sim 10^{12} - 10^{16}$ GeV and are expected to exhibit the properties that characterize the matter contained in the dark matter halos of galaxies.

2.2 Properties of Holeum

The theory of Holeum was presented in [41]. The energy eigenvalue E_n of a Holeum consisting of two identical primordial black holes of mass m is given by

$$E_n = -\frac{mc^2\alpha_g^2}{4n^2} \quad (1)$$

where n is the principal quantum number, $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ and α_g is the gravitational analogue of the fine structure constant, given by

$$\alpha_g = \frac{m^2 G}{\hbar c} = \frac{m^2}{m_P^2} \quad (2)$$

where

$$m_P = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

is the Planck mass. Here $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by 2π , c is the speed of light in vacuum and G is Newton's universal constant of gravity. The mass of the n^{th} excited state of a Holeum is given by

$$m_H = 2m + \frac{E_n}{c^2} \quad (4)$$

The atomic transitions of a Holeum will give rise to gravitational radiation, whose frequencies have been predicted in [41]. Since the Holeum emits only gravitational radiation and no electromagnetic radiation, it is a dark matter candidate. The condition for the stability of a Holeum is

$$m < m_c \quad (5)$$

where m is the mass of the two identical primordial black holes that constitute the bound state and

$$m_c = 0.8862m_P = 1.0821 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \quad (6)$$

The radius of the of the n^{th} excited state of a Holeum is given by

$$r_n = \left(\frac{n^2 R}{\alpha_g^2} \right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $R = \left(\frac{2mG}{c^2} \right)$ is the Schwarzschild radius of the two identical primordial black holes that constitute the Holeum. We present the **ground state** values of these parameters of Holeums in Table 1.

Table 1. The ground state values of some parameters of Holeums.

m (GeV)	$ E_1 $ (GeV)	r_1 (m)	m_H (GeV)
1.0×10^3	1.124437×10^{-62}	7.233994×10^{13}	2.00×10^{03}
1.0×10^7	1.124437×10^{-42}	7.233994×10^{01}	2.00×10^{07}
1.0×10^{11}	1.124437×10^{-22}	7.233994×10^{-11}	2.00×10^{11}
1.0×10^{12}	1.124437×10^{-17}	7.233994×10^{-14}	2.00×10^{12}
2.5×10^{12}	1.098083×10^{-15}	4.629756×10^{-15}	5.00×10^{12}
5.0×10^{12}	3.513865×10^{-14}	5.787195×10^{-16}	1.00×10^{13}
7.5×10^{12}	2.668341×10^{-13}	1.714725×10^{-16}	1.50×10^{13}
1.0×10^{13}	1.124437×10^{-12}	7.233994×10^{-17}	2.00×10^{13}
2.5×10^{13}	1.098083×10^{-10}	4.629756×10^{-18}	5.00×10^{13}
5.0×10^{13}	3.513865×10^{-09}	5.787195×10^{-19}	1.00×10^{14}
7.5×10^{13}	2.668341×10^{-08}	1.714725×10^{-19}	1.50×10^{14}
1.0×10^{14}	1.124437×10^{-07}	7.233994×10^{-20}	2.00×10^{14}
2.5×10^{14}	1.098083×10^{-05}	4.629756×10^{-21}	5.00×10^{14}
5.0×10^{14}	3.513865×10^{-04}	5.787195×10^{-22}	1.00×10^{15}
7.5×10^{14}	2.668341×10^{-03}	1.714725×10^{-22}	1.50×10^{15}
1.0×10^{15}	1.124437×10^{-02}	7.233994×10^{-23}	2.00×10^{15}
1.0×10^{17}	1.124437×10^{08}	7.233994×10^{-29}	2.00×10^{17}
1.0×10^{18}	1.124437×10^{13}	7.233994×10^{-32}	2.000011×10^{18}
1.0×10^{19}	1.124437×10^{18}	7.233994×10^{-35}	2.112444×10^{19}

It can be readily seen that the physical properties of Holeums of masses ranging between 10^{13} GeV and 10^{14} GeV make them promising candidates for a super heavy dark matter (SHDM) X-particle. A Holeum is the gravitational analogue of a hydrogen atom. It is as stable as the latter. It is well-known that hydrogen undergoes pressure ionization in concentrations of the gas such as stars, galaxies, nebulae, etc. Holeums too can undergo pressure ionization via a similar process. Large concentrations of Holeums in the galactic halos may eventually lead to structure formation such as Holeum stars and their clusters. The existence of a dark matter galaxy in the Virgo cluster has been reported [82]. The temperatures that exist in the interiors of stars of ordinary matter may also be available in such large concentrations of Holeums referred to above.

From Table 1 we can see that the ionization energy of a Holeum having constituent masses 10^{15} GeV or greater is 11.24 MeV or greater which corresponds to a temperature greater than 10^{11} K that is far higher than that available in stars and galaxies. The ionization energy of a Holeum consisting of two identical PBHs of mass 10^{14} GeV is 112.4 eV. This corresponds to a temperature of 1.305×10^6 K which readily obtains in stars. It is clear that the pressure ionization of Holeums having constituent masses around 10^{14} GeV is possible in stars. On the other hand, a Holeum of mass of the order of 10^{13} GeV has an ionization energy of the order of 10^{-3} eV, which corresponds to a temperature of the order of 10 K - which is almost the same as the present day CMBR temperature. Such Holeums would ionize easily and would not be expected to survive in the universe today. We can therefore expect Holeums of masses $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV and above to be stable enough to survive in the present-day universe.

Because of the possible copious production of PBH in the early universe [83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103], Holeums could be an important component of dark matter in the universe today. Holeums interact only gravitationally. By the time the particles of ordinary matter such as atoms and molecules were formed in the early universe, the gravitational interaction had become the weakest. In addition to the gravitational interaction, the particles of ordinary matter have three other, stronger, interactions. This would readily result in a segregation of Holeums from the particles of ordinary matter. But due to gravity the former would still cling to the latter, very weakly. This therefore would have resulted in the accumulation of Holeums in the halos of galaxies. Another reason for the accumulation of Holeums in the halos of galaxies is the centrifugal force of the rotating galaxies. Holeums are generally much more massive than the particles of ordinary matter. Therefore the centrifugal force on them is greater, and this would naturally pull Holeums to the fringes and the halos of galaxies. Since Holeums are invisible, so are the galactic halos. Holeums of masses $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV and above would therefore be an important constituent of the SHDM present in the galactic halos. Holeums of masses between $10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV would occasionally undergo pressure ionization due to local conditions in galactic halos. Holeums of masses $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV and above can therefore be considered promising candidates for the SHDM X-particle.

3 Holeum origin of UHECR

The ionization of a Holeum will destroy the bound state and expose the two individual black holes that constitute the Holeum. These will undergo Hawking evaporation and explode instantly into two cascades of particles, and γ -rays. Some of the ejecta from the black hole evaporation will hadronize into ultra-

high energy particles. Some of the particles emitted can carry kinetic energies of the order of 1% of the total energy emitted [28]. These are the UHECR observed on the earth. Each burst of Hawking radiation will have a total energy of upto 10^{23} eV. Remembering that a part of the energy liberated will be in the form of the rest energies of the emitted particles, the figure 10^{20} eV for the highest energy of the cosmic rays observed on earth is readily comprehensible. This mechanism can explain cosmic rays of all observed energies. Holeums can therefore be identified as the SHDM X-particles that are thought to be the sources of UHECR [28,69,70]. In this theory of the origin of UHECR, the particles are created with such high energies that the need for exotic sources of acceleration does not arise.

Holeums of masses higher than 10^{14} GeV would be extremely stable and their decays would consequently be very rare. Such decays, however, would liberate energies of upto the order of 10^{28} eV which correspond to Holeums having masses of the order of 1×10^{19} GeV. Such Hyper High Energy Cosmic Rays (HHECR) would be direct evidence of the decay of heavy Holeums. Thus, Holeums can give rise to cosmic rays in the energy range upto the order of 10^{28} eV of which only those upto 10^{21} eV have so far been observed.

4 UHECR from the galactic halo and the absence of the GZK cut-off

A unique property of Holeums is that they tend to accumulate almost exclusively in the galactic halos. Thus, we make the unique prediction that *UHECR will originate mainly in the galactic halos*. The non-central position of the sun in the galactic halo should therefore result in an anisotropic flux of UHECR observed on earth [106,107]. There is observational support for this prediction. As far back as 1983, Giler observed: "The observed anisotropy can be accounted for only if the diffusion in the disk is much smaller than that in the halo" [105]. This property of Holeums provides a natural explanation for the absence of the GZK cut-off. As discussed above, the GZK cut-off significantly affects those cosmic rays that originate at large cosmological distances ($\gg 100$ Mpc) from the earth, but not those whose sources are nearby, within the local supercluster. According to the Holeum origin model, cosmic rays arise due to the pressure-ionization of Holeums in the halos of galaxies. It is obvious that the number of the cosmic rays emitted by the Holeums accumulated in the local galactic halo will be overwhelmingly large compared to that of those originating at cosmological distances. Any depletion caused by the GZK cut-off affects only the latter class which is insignificant in quantity. Hence there may not be any observable depletion in the overall quantity received on the earth. This provides a plausible explanation for the absence of the GZK cut-off.

5 Observational Evidence from Cosmic Ray Antimatter

Further support for the Holeum origin of cosmic rays arises from the observation of antiparticles, especially antiprotons, in cosmic rays. Antiprotons are one of the most precisely measured particles among the various antimatter species in cosmic rays [108,109,110,111,112,113]. The Hawking radiation emitted by a black hole contains both particles and antiparticles but does not conserve the baryon number. Now we can readily see that a macroscopic stellar-mass black hole does not emit any significant amount of Hawking radiation. For this we note that the decay rate of a black hole is given by

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\frac{\kappa}{m^2} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{g_* \hbar c^4}{7680\pi G^2} = 9.84197 \times 10^{73} \text{ GeV}^4 \quad (9)$$

Here m is the mass of the black hole in GeV. The Hawking temperature of a black hole is given by

$$T = \frac{\hbar c}{8\pi^2 k_B R} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{16\pi^2 k_B G m} = \frac{9.45 \times 10^{35}}{m} \text{ GeV} \quad (10)$$

Now from equation (8) we can show that the rate of loss of mass by a solar mass ($1.98892 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg} \equiv 1.12 \times 10^{57} \text{ GeV}$) black hole is equal to $-7.89 \times 10^{-32} \text{ eV/s}$ which is negligible because the black hole will take 10^{32} seconds to emit 7.89 eV of Hawking radiation. The temperature of such a black hole is of the order of 10^{-9} K ; which is hardly conducive for emission of any type at all. It is therefore evident that macroscopic black holes cannot produce the antimatter detected in cosmic rays. It has been theorized that primordial black holes are the source of antimatter detected on earth [114,115,116]. The theory of Holeum is in agreement with this theory, and provides a natural way of preserving the PBHs intact to the present day, until they evaporate due to the ionization of the Holeum they are part of. The ionization of Holeums, leading to the Hawking evaporation of its constituent PBHs, therefore provides a natural explanation for the presence of antimatter in cosmic rays.

6 Conclusions

The following are the main features of the theory of the Holeum origin of cosmic rays:

- (1) Holeums of masses $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ and above can be identified as promising candidates for the SHDM X-particle.

- (2) Pressure ionization of Holeums accumulated in the galactic halos can give rise to cosmic rays of all observed energies including UHECRs and upto the order of 10^{28} eV.
- (3) Cosmic rays are a manifestation of the end-stage Hawking radiation burst of the primordial black holes (PBH) liberated in ionizing collisions among the stable Holeums in galactic halos.
- (4) Antimatter detected in cosmic rays could be a signature of their Holeum origin.
- (5) The galactic halo origin of the UHECR explains the absence of the GZK cut-off.

The Holeum has been conjectured to be a dark matter particle that may be a component of galactic halos [41]. We have shown in this paper that it provides a natural explanation for a number of cosmological phenomena related to cosmic rays. It has been theorized that Holeums could give rise to a class of short lived gamma ray bursts [104]. The theory of Holeum, though promising, is in the nascent stage and much future theoretical and observational work needs to be done to prove its adequacy and role in cosmology.

References

- [1] F. W. Stecker, *Astrophysics at the Highest Energy Frontiers*; arXiv:astro-ph/0208507v4 (2002).
- [2] Subir Sarkar, *Ultra-high energy cosmic rays and new physics*; arXiv:hep-ph/0202013v2 (2002).
- [3] Pijushpani Bhattacharjee, Guenter Sigl, *Origin and Propagation of Extremely High Energy Cosmic Rays*; arXiv:astro-ph/9811011v2 (1998).
- [4] J. Linsley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 146 (1963); Proc. 8th *International Cosmic Ray Conference* 4, 295 (1963).
- [5] R. G. Brownlee et al, Can. J. Phys. 46, S259 (1968).
- [6] M. M. Winn et al, J. Phys. G 12, 653 (1986).
- [7] A. A. Watson, J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys. 17 (1991).
- [8] D. J. Bird et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993).
- [9] N. Hayashida et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994).
- [10] S. Yoshida et al, Astropart. Phys. 3 (1995).
- [11] M. Takeda et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998).
- [12] R. D. Blandford, Phys.Scripta T85, 191-194; arXiv:astro-ph/9906026v1 (2000).

- [13] T. W. Jones, *Acceleration of Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays: Cosmic Zevatrons?*; arXiv:astro-ph/0210477v1 (2002).
- [14] Mitsuru Honda, Yasuko S. Honda, *Astrophys.J.* 617, L37-L40; arXiv:astro-ph/0411101v3 (2004).
- [15] Eric Armengaud, Guenter Sigl, Francesco Miniati, *Gamma Ray Astronomy with Magnetized Zevatrons*; arXiv:astro-ph/0511277v1 (2005).
- [16] M. Nagano et al., *Proc. International Symposium on Astrophysical Aspects of the Most Energetic Cosmic Rays*, eds.M. Nagano and F. Takahara, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [17] M. Nagano et al., *Proc. of International Symposium on Extremely High Energy Cosmic Rays: Astrophysics and Future Observatories*, ed. M. Nagano (Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, Tokyo, 1996).
- [18] J. Krizmanic et al., *Proc. of Workshop on Observing Giant Cosmic Ray Air Showers from $> 10^{20}$ eV Particles from Space*, eds. J. F. Krizmanic, J. F. Ormes, and R. E. Streitmatter (AIP Conference Proceedings 433, 1997).
- [19] A.G. Doroshkevich, P.D. Naselsky, *Ultra - High Energy Cosmic Rays from decay of the Super Heavy Dark Matter Relics*; arXiv:astro-ph/0011320v3 (2000).
- [20] Manuel Drees, *The Top-Down Interpretation of Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays*; arXiv:hep-ph/0310331v1 (2003).
- [21] T. Stanev, P.L. Biermann, and T.K. Gaisser, *Astron. Astrop.* 274 (1993) 902.
- [22] A.P. Szabo, R.J. Protheroe, *Implications of Particle Acceleration in Active Galactic Nuclei for Cosmic Rays and High Energy Neutrino Astronomy*; arXiv:astro-ph/9405020v1 (1994).
- [23] V.Berezinsky, A.Z.Gazizov, S.I.Grigorieva, *Signatures of AGN model for UHECR*; arXiv:astro-ph/0210095v2 (2002).
- [24] Biermann, P.L. and Strittmatter, P.A. (1987), *Astrophys. J.* 322, 643.
- [25] N. A. Porter, *Nuovo Cim.* 16 (1960) 958.
- [26] V. Berezinsky, P. Blasi, A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* 58, 103315 (1998).
- [27] V. Berezinsky, *Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl)* B87, 387 (2000).
- [28] Cyrille Barbot, *Super-heavy X-particle decay and Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays*; arXiv:hep-ph/0308028v1 (2003).
- [29] F. Takahara, *Physics and Astrophysics of Neutrinos*, eds. M. Fukugita and A. Suzuki (Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1994), p. 900.
- [30] P. L. Biermann, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl)* 43, 221 (1995).
- [31] P. L. Biermann, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* 23, 1 (1997).

- [32] R.J. Protheroe, *Acceleration and Interaction of Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays*; arXiv:astro-ph/9812055v1 (1998).
- [33] K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 748 (1966).
- [34] G. T. Zatsepin and V. A. Kuzmin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 4, 114 (1966).
- [35] F. W. Stecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 1016 (1968).
- [36] T. Stanev et al, Phys. Rev. D 62, 093005 (2000).
- [37] R.J. Protheroe & P.A. Johnson, Astropart. Phys. 4, 253 (1996).
- [38] R.J. Protheroe & P.A. Johnson, Astropart. Phys. 5, 215 (1996).
- [39] R.J. Protheroe & P.L. Biermann, Astropart. Phys. 6, 45 (1996).
- [40] R.J. Protheroe & P.L. Biermann, Astropart. Phys. 7, 181 (1997).
- [41] Chavda, L.K., and Chavda, A.L., Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2927; arXiv:gr-qc/0308054v1 (2002).
- [42] Keith A. Olive, *TASI Lectures on Dark Matter*; arXiv:astro-ph/0301505v2 (2003).
- [43] Martin J. Rees, *Dark Matter: Introduction*; arXiv:astro-ph/0402045v1 (2004).
- [44] David B. Cline, *The Search for Dark Matter, Einstein's Cosmology and MOND*; arXiv:astro-ph/0510576v1 (2005).
- [45] W. de Boer, New Astron.Rev. 49, 213-231; arXiv:hep-ph/0408166v2 (2005).
- [46] Gianfranco Bertone, Dan Hooper, Joseph Silk, Phys.Rept. 405, 279-390; arXiv:hep-ph/0404175v2 (2005).
- [47] L. Bergstrom, Rept. Prog. Phys. 63, 793; arXiv:hep-ph/0002126v1 (2000).
- [48] S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 17; arXiv:hep-ph/9303287v1 (1994).
- [49] P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D 60, 063501; arXiv:astro-ph/9902210v3 (1999).
- [50] T. Falk, K. A. Olive and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 339, 248; arXiv:hep-ph/9409270v1 (1994).
- [51] J. L. Feng, A. Rajaraman and F. Takayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 011302; arXiv:hep-ph/0302215v2 (2003).
- [52] K. Choi, K. Hwang, H. B. Kim and T. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 467, 211; arXiv:hep-ph/9902291v1 (1999).
- [53] L. Covi, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4180; arXiv:hep-ph/9905212v1 (1999).
- [54] L. Covi, L. Roszkowski, R. R. de Austri and M. Small, *Axino Dark Matter and the CMSSM*; arXiv:hep-ph/0402240v2 (2004).

- [55] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B 513, 232; arXiv:hep-ph/0105239v4 (2001).
- [56] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, T. Gregoire and J. G. Wacker, JHEP 0208, 020; arXiv:hep-ph/0202089v2 (2002).
- [57] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz and A. E. Nelson, JHEP 0207, 034; arXiv:hep-ph/0206021v2 (2002).
- [58] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz, A. E. Nelson, T. Gregoire and J. G. Wacker, JHEP 0208, 021; arXiv:hep-ph/0206020v2 (2002).
- [59] Hsin-Chia Cheng and I. Low, JHEP 0309, 051; arXiv:hep-ph/0308199v2 (2003).
- [60] Hsin-Chia Cheng, Jonathan L. Feng, Konstantin T. Matchev, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 211301; arXiv:hep-ph/0207125v2 (2002).
- [61] Aurelien Barrau, Pierre Salati, Geraldine Servant, Fiorenza Donato, Julien Grain, David Maurin, Richard Taillet, Phys.Rev. D72, 063507; arXiv:astro-ph/0506389v1 (2005).
- [62] E. W. Kolb, D. J. Chung and A. Riotto, *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Dark Matter in Astro and Particle Physics (DARK98), Heidelberg, Germany*; arXiv:hep-ph/9810361v1 (1998).
- [63] J. R. Ellis, G. B. Gelmini, J. L. Lopez, D. V. Nanopoulos and S. Sarkar, Nucl. Phys. B 373, 399 (1992).
- [64] J. R. Ellis, J. L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 247, 257 (1990).
- [65] A. D. Dolgov, P. D. Naselsky, I. D. Novikov, *Gravitational waves, baryogenesis, and dark matter from primordial black holes*; arXiv:astro-ph/0009407v1 (2000).
- [66] David Blais, Claus Kiefer, David Polarski, Phys.Lett. B535, 11-16; arXiv:astro-ph/0203520v2 (2002).
- [67] N. Afshordi, P. McDonald, D.N. Spergel, Astrophys.J. 594, L71-L74; arXiv:astro-ph/0302035v1 (2003).
- [68] R. Bernabei et al, Riv.Nuovo Cim. 26N1, 1-73; arXiv:astro-ph/0307403v1 (2003).
- [69] Cyrille Barbot, Manuel Drees, Astropart.Phys. 20, 5-44; arXiv:hep-ph/0211406v2 (2003).
- [70] Cyrille Barbot, Manuel Drees, Phys.Lett. B533, 107-115; arXiv:hep-ph/0202072v2 (2002).
- [71] Sanghyeon Chang, Claudio Coriano, Alon E. Faraggi, Nucl.Phys. B477 65-104; arXiv:hep-ph/9605325v1 (1996).
- [72] Karim Benakli, John Ellis, Dimitri V. Nanopoulos, Phys.Rev. D59, 047301; arXiv:hep-ph/9803333v1 (1999).

- [73] K. Hamaguchi, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D58 103503; arXiv:hep-ph/9805346v1 (1998).
- [74] K. Hamaguchi, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D59, 063507; arXiv:hep-ph/9809426v2 (1999).
- [75] K. Hamaguchi, K. I. Izawa, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D60, 125009; arXiv:hep-ph/9903207v2 (1999).
- [76] Claudio Coriano, Alon E. Faraggi, Michael Plumacher, Nucl.Phys. B614, 233-253; arXiv:hep-ph/0107053v1 (2001).
- [77] Daniel J. H. Chung, Edward W. Kolb, Antonio Riotto, Phys.Rev. D59, 023501; arXiv:hep-ph/9802238v2 (1999).
- [78] V. Berezhinsky, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 87, 387-396; arXiv:hep-ph/0001163v2 (2000).
- [79] F. Combes, New Astron.Rev. 46, 755-766; arXiv:astro-ph/0206126v1 (2002).
- [80] Henk Hoekstra, Howard K.C. Yee, Michael D. Gladders, Astrophys.J. 606, 67-77; arXiv:astro-ph/0306515v2 (2004).
- [81] Andreas Burkert, *The structure of dark matter halos. Observation versus theory*; arXiv:astro-ph/9703057v1 (1997).
- [82] R. F. Minchin et al., *A Dark Galaxy in the Virgo Cluster Imaged at 21-cm*; arXiv:astro-ph/0508153v1 (2005).
- [83] Ya.B. Zeldovich and I.D. Novikov, Sov.Astron.A.J. 10, 602 (1967).
- [84] S.W. Hawking, MNRAS 152, 75 (1971).
- [85] M.Yu. Khlopov and A.G. Polnarev, Phys.Lett. B. 97, 383 (1980).
- [86] A.G. Polnarev and R. Zemboricz, Phys.Rev. D. 43, 1106 (1988).
- [87] S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B. 231, 237 (1989).
- [88] J. Garriga and M. Sakellariadou, Phys.Rev. D. 48, 2502 (1993).
- [89] R. Caldwell and P. Casper, Phys. Rev. D. 53, 3002 (1996).
- [90] J.H. MacGibbon, R.H. Brandenberger and U.F. Wichoski, Phys. Rev. D. 57, 2158 (1998).
- [91] M. Crawford and D.N. Schramm, Nature 298, 538 (1982).
- [92] S.W. Hawking, I. Moss and J. Stewart, Phys.Rev. D. 26, 2681 (1982).
- [93] H. Kodama, K. Sato, M. Sasaki, Prog.Theor.Phys. 68, 1979 (1982).
- [94] D. La and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys.Lett. B. 220, 375 (1989).
- [95] I.G. Moss, Phys.Rev. D. 50, 676 (1994).

- [96] H. Kodama, K. Sato, M. Sasaki and K. Maeda, Prog.Theor.Phys. 66, 2052 (1981).
- [97] K. Maeda, K. Sato, M. Sasaki and H. Kodama, Phys.Lett. 108B, 103 (1982).
- [98] R.V. Konoplich, S.G. Rubin, A.S. Sakharov and M.Yu. Khlopov, Phys.Atom.Nuc. 62, 1593 (1999).
- [99] M.Yu.Khlopov, R.V. Konoplich, S.G. Rubin and A.S. Sakharov, Grav.Cos. 2, 1 (1999).
- [100] V.I. Dokuchaev, Yu.N. Eroshenko and S.G. Rubin, *Quasars formation around clusters of primordial black holes*; arXiv:astro-ph/0412418v2 (2004).
- [101] S.G. Rubin, M.Yu. Khlopov and A.S. Sakharov, Grav.Cos. 6, 1 (2001).
- [102] S.G. Rubin, A.S. Sakharov and M.Yu. Khlopov, J.Exp.Theor.Phys. 91, 921 (2001).
- [103] M.Yu.Khlopov, S.G. Rubin and A.S. Sakharov, *Primordial Structure of Massive Black Hole Clusters*; arXiv:astro-ph/0401532v2 (2004).
- [104] S. Al Dallal, Advances in Space Research, Volume 40, Issue 8, 1186-1198 (2006).
- [105] M. Giler, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 9, 1139 (1983).
- [106] S.L. Dubovsky and P.G. Tinyakov, JETP Lett. 68, 107 (1998).
- [107] R. Aloisio, V. Berezhinsky and M. Kachelrieß, Phys. Rev. D74, 023516 (2006).
- [108] G. D. Badhwar & R. L. Golden, Nature 251, 126 (1974).
- [109] C. S. Shen, G. B. Berkey, Phys. Rev. 171, 1344 - 1348 (1968).
- [110] Keiji Igi, Muneyuki Ishida, Predictions of pp, pbar p total cross section and rho ratio at LHC and cosmic-ray energies based on duality; arXiv:hep-ph/0510129v2 (2005).
- [111] Keiji Igi, Muneyuki Ishida, Phys.Lett. B622, 286-294, (2005).
- [112] A.M. Lionetto, A. Morselli, V. Zdravkovic, JCAP 0509, 010; arXiv:astro-ph/0502406v3 (2005).
- [113] T. Sanuki et al, Phys.Lett. B577, 10-17; arXiv:astro-ph/0306582v1 (2003).
- [114] A. Barrau, G. Boudoul, F. Donato, D. Maurin, P. Salati, I. Stefanon, R. Taillet, Astron.Astrophys. 398, 403-410; arXiv:astro-ph/0207395v3 (2003).
- [115] Aurelien Barrau, *Antimatter from primordial black holes*; arXiv:astro-ph/0208182v1 (2002).
- [116] A. Barrau, G. Boudoul, F. Donato, D. Maurin, P. Salati, R. Taillet, Astron.Astrophys. 388, 676; arXiv:astro-ph/0112486v2 (2002).